# Supplementary Information 

Statistical Analyses
[SPSS, 2020; STATGRAPHICS, 2018]
ANOVA Analysis
Table SI 1. ANOVA analysis for the water quality parameters.

| Source | Sum of squares | $\boldsymbol{D f}$ | Mean square | $\boldsymbol{F}$-ratio | $\boldsymbol{P}$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Between groups | 1024.44 | 7 | 146.349 | 1627.80 | 0.0000 |
| Within groups | 6.47323 | 72 | 0.089906 |  |  |
| Total (Corr.) | 1030.91 | 79 |  |  |  |

The variance of each parameter was sub-divided into two components in ANOVA table: a between-group component and a within-group component. The F-ratio (ratio of the between-group estimate to the within-group estimate) was found as 1627.80 . Since P -values of the F-tests were less than 0.05 , there was a statistically significant difference between the means of the variables.

## Levene's Test (for Variance check)

Table SI 2. Levene's test for the water quality parameters.


| Comparison | Sigma1 | Sigma2 | F-Ratio | $P$-Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{pH} / \mathrm{TSS}$ | 0.116528 | 0.458065 | 0.0647158 | 0.0004 |
| $\mathrm{pH} / \mathrm{BOD}$ | 0.116528 | 0.111036 | 1.10139 | 0.8880 |
| $\mathrm{pH} / \mathrm{Ca}$ | 0.116528 | 0.309401 | 0.141847 | 0.0077 |
| $\mathrm{pH} / \mathrm{Mg}$ | 0.116528 | 0.189997 | 0.376158 | 0.1614 |
| $\mathrm{pH} / \mathrm{Hardness}$ | 0.116528 | 0.549327 | 0.044999 | 0.0001 |
| $\mathrm{pH} / \mathrm{Cl}$ | 0.116528 | 0.220897 | 0.278281 | 0.0704 |
| $\mathrm{pH} / \mathrm{OP}$ | 0.116528 | 0.033665 | 11.9814 | 0.0010 |
| $\mathrm{TSS} / \mathrm{BOD}$ | 0.458065 | 0.111036 | 17.0188 | 0.0003 |
| $\mathrm{TSS} / \mathrm{Ca}$ | 0.458065 | 0.309401 | 2.19185 | 0.2580 |
| $\mathrm{TSS} / \mathrm{Mg}$ | 0.458065 | 0.189997 | 5.81246 | 0.0151 |
| $\mathrm{TSS} / \mathrm{Hardness}$ | 0.458065 | 0.549327 | 0.695332 | 0.5970 |
| $\mathrm{TSS} / \mathrm{Cl}$ | 0.458065 | 0.220897 | 4.30005 | 0.0407 |
| $\mathrm{TSS} / \mathrm{OP}$ | 0.458065 | 0.033665 | 185.138 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathrm{BOD} / \mathrm{Ca}$ | 0.111036 | 0.309401 | 0.12879 | 0.0054 |
| $\mathrm{BOD} / \mathrm{Mg}$ | 0.111036 | 0.189997 | 0.341531 | 0.1253 |
| $\mathrm{BOD} / \mathrm{Hardness}$ | 0.111036 | 0.549327 | 0.0408566 | 0.0001 |
| $\mathrm{BOD} / \mathrm{Cl}$ | 0.111036 | 0.220897 | 0.252664 | 0.0527 |
| $\mathrm{BOD} / \mathrm{OP}$ | 0.111036 | 0.033665 | 10.8784 | 0.0015 |
| $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ | 0.309401 | 0.189997 | 2.65185 | 0.1624 |
| $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Hardness}$ | 0.309401 | 0.549327 | 0.317235 | 0.1024 |
| $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Cl}$ | 0.309401 | 0.220897 | 1.96184 | 0.3299 |
| $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{OP}$ | 0.309401 | 0.033665 | 84.4667 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Hardness}$ | 0.189997 | 0.549327 | 0.119628 | 0.0041 |
| $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Cl}$ | 0.189997 | 0.220897 | 0.739799 | 0.6607 |
| $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{OP}$ | 0.189997 | 0.033665 | 31.852 | 0.0000 |
| Hardness / Cl | 0.549327 | 0.220897 | 6.18417 | 0.0122 |
| $\mathrm{Hardness} / \mathrm{OP}$ | 0.549327 | 0.033665 | 266.259 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{OP}$ | 0.220897 | 0.033665 | 43.0549 | 0.0000 |

The statistic displayed in this table tests the null hypothesis that the standard deviations within each of the 8 columns are the same. Of particular interest is the P -value. Since the P -value is less than 0.05 , there is a statistically significant difference amongst the standard deviations at the $95.0 \%$ confidence level.
The table also shows a comparison of the standard deviations for each pair of samples. P- Values below 0.05 , of which there are 17 , indicate a statistically significant difference between the two sigmas.

## Kruskal-Wallis Test

Table SI 3. Kruskal-Wallis test for the water quality parameters.

## Kruskal-Wallis Test

|  | Sample Size | Average Rank |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pH | 10 | 65.5 |
| TSS | 10 | 27.9 |
| BOD | 10 | 15.5 |
| Ca | 10 | 55.5 |
| Mg | 10 | 37.55 |
| Hardness | 10 | 75.5 |
| Cl | 10 | 41.05 |
| OP | 10 | 5.5 |

Test statistic $=75.8008 \quad$ P-Value $=0$
95.0 percent Bonferroni intervals

| Contrast | Sig. | Difference | +\%- Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pH - TSS | * | 37.6 | 32.4629 |
| pH - BOD | * | 50.0 | 32.4629 |
| pH-Ca |  | 10.0 | 32.4629 |
| pH - Mg |  | 27.95 | 32.4629 |
| pH - Hardness |  | -10.0 | 32.4629 |
| pH-Cl |  | 24.45 | 32.4629 |
| pH - OP | * | 60.0 | 32.4629 |
| TSS - BOD |  | 12.4 | 32.4629 |
| TSS - Ca |  | -27.6 | 32.4629 |
| TSS - Mg |  | -9.65 | 32.4629 |
| TSS - Hardness | * | -47.6 | 32.4629 |
| TSS-CI |  | -13.15 | 32.4629 |
| TSS - OP |  | 22.4 | 32.4629 |
| BOD - Ca | * | -40.0 | 32.4629 |
| BOD - Mg |  | -22.05 | 32.4629 |
| BOD - Hardness | * | -60.0 | 32.4629 |
| BOD - Cl |  | -25.55 | 32.4629 |
| BOD - OP |  | 10.0 | 32.4629 |
| Ca - Mg |  | 17.95 | 32.4629 |
| Ca - Hardness |  | -20.0 | 32.4629 |
| Ca-Cl |  | 14.45 | 32.4629 |
| Ca - OP | * | 50.0 | 32.4629 |
| Mg - Hardness | * | -37.95 | 32.4629 |
| $\mathrm{Mg}-\mathrm{Cl}$ |  | -3.5 | 32.4629 |
| Mg - OP |  | 32.05 | 32.4629 |
| Hardness - CI | * | 34.45 | 32.4629 |
| Hardness - OP | * | 70.0 | 32.4629 |
| Cl-OP | * | 35.55 | 32.4629 |

* denotes a statistically significant difference.

The Kruskal-Wallis test tests the null hypothesis that the medians within each of the 8 columns are the same. The data from all the columns is first combined and ranked from smallest to largest. The average rank is then computed for the data in each column. Since the P-value is less than 0.05 , there is a statistically significant difference amongst the medians at the $95.0 \%$ confidence level.
The second part of the output shows pairwise comparisons between the average ranks of the 8 groups. Using the Bonferroni procedure, 11 of the comparisons are statistically significant at the $95.0 \%$ confidence level.

## Mood's Median Test

Table SI 4. Mood's median test for the water quality parameters.

## Mood's Median Test

Total $\mathrm{n}=80$
Grand median $=3.39$

| Sample | Sample Size | $n<=$ | $n>$ | Median | $95.0 \%$ lower CL | $95.0 \%$ upper CL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pH | 10 | 0 | 10 | 7.985 | 7.88569 | 8.21 |
| TSS | 10 | 9 | 1 | 2.515 | 2.12978 | 3.37729 |
| BOD | 10 | 10 | 0 | 1.205 | 1.06298 | 1.37107 |
| Ca | 10 | 0 | 10 | 6.01 | 5.68218 | 6.56533 |
| Mg | 10 | 7 | 3 | 3.275 | 3.05164 | 3.60676 |
| Hardness | 10 | 0 | 10 | 11.58 | 10.9946 | 12.5991 |
| Cl | 10 | 5 | 5 | 3.405 | 3.17542 | 3.81698 |
| OP | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.143778 |

Test statistic $=57.9862$ P-Value $=3.80487 \mathrm{E}-10$
Mood's median test tests the hypothesis that the medians of all 8 samples are equal. It does so by counting the number of observations in each sample on either side of the grand median, which equals 3.39 . Since the P -value for the chi-square test is less than 0.05 , the medians of the samples are significantly different at the $95.0 \%$ confidence level. Also included are $95.0 \%$ confidence intervals for each median based on the order statistics of each sample.
Future Trend Models
[CurveExpert, 2017]

| Water Quality Parameter | Model | Kind | Family | Equation | Parameters | Confidence Level | Std. Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pH | Rational | Regression | Miscellaneous | $y=(a+b x) /\left(1+c x+d x^{2}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=-1.57414 \mathrm{E}+03 \mathrm{~b}= \\ & 7.868929 \mathrm{E}-01 \\ & \mathrm{c}=-9.790364 \mathrm{E}-02 \\ & \mathrm{~d}=4.869097 \mathrm{E}-05 \end{aligned}$ | 95\% | 0.117406502085 |
| TSS | Exponential Association 2 | Regression | Growth Model | $y=a\left(1-e^{-b x}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=7.687621 \mathrm{E}+00 \mathrm{~b}= \\ & 2.136979 \mathrm{E}-04 \end{aligned}$ | 95\% | 0.485658458750 |
| BOD | Exponential Association 2 | Regression | Growth Model | $y=a\left(1-e^{-b x}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=3.8886297 \mathrm{E}+00 \mathrm{~b}= \\ & 1.8456711 \mathrm{E}-04 \end{aligned}$ | 95\% | 0.117431167614 |
| Ca | Exponential Association 3 | Regression | Growth Model | $y=a\left(b-e^{-c x}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=7.1415964 \mathrm{E}+00 \\ & \mathrm{~b}=8.4322824 \mathrm{E}-01 \mathrm{c}= \\ & 5.0304320 \mathrm{E}-01 \end{aligned}$ | 95\% | 0.350827593021 |
| Mg | Harmonic Decline | Regression | Decline Model | $y=q_{0} /(1+x / a)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{q} 0=5.735599 \mathrm{E}+00 \mathrm{a}= \\ & 2.7498483 \mathrm{E}+03 \end{aligned}$ | 95\% | 0.201300966003 |
| Hardness | Ratkowsky | Regression | Sigmoidal Model | $y=a /\left(1+e^{\text {b-cx }}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=1.1665999 \mathrm{E}+01 \\ & \mathrm{~b}=-2.219598 \mathrm{E}+01 \\ & \mathrm{c}=-3.077156 \mathrm{E}-03 \end{aligned}$ | 95\% | 0.622878113963 |
| Cl | Rational | Regression | Miscellaneous | $\mathrm{y}=(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bx}) /\left(1+\mathrm{cx}+\mathrm{dx}^{2}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=3.123171 \mathrm{E}+02 \\ & \mathrm{~b}=-1.561452 \mathrm{E}-01 \\ & \mathrm{c}=4.6602448 \mathrm{E}-02 \\ & \mathrm{~d}=-2.354829 \mathrm{E}-05 \end{aligned}$ | 95\% | 0.235034845793 |
| OP | Bleasdale | Regression | Yield-Spacing Model | $\left.y=(a+b x)^{-1 / c}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=-7.312986 \mathrm{E}+10 \mathrm{~b}= \\ & 3.6548204 \mathrm{E}+07 \mathrm{c}= \\ & 6.9123074 \mathrm{E}+00 \end{aligned}$ | 95\% | 0.032773563986 |
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